

ARLINGTON, VA 22202

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,840	02/2	23/2004	Kazunori Abe	8015-1026 5535 EXAMINER	
466	7590	03/13/2006			
YOUNG &	THOMPSO	ON		ABRAM	S, NEIL
745 SOUTH		EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)					
		'''		ABE					
Offic	e Action Summary	10/782,8		Art Unit					
01110		Examine		2839					
The MA	ILING DATE of this communic	Neil Abra			-				
Period for Reply	ILING DATE OF UIIS COMMUNIC	ation appears on th	e cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON' - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO IS LONGER, FROM THE MA may be available under the provisions of THS from the mailing date of this commun ply is specified above, the maximum statu hin the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. Itory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tin vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		. •							
1)⊠. Resnons	ive to communication(s) filed	on 16 December 2	2005.						
2a) ☐ This action		o)⊠ This action is							
,									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla		·							
	4) Claim(s) —— is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	✓ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are allowed.								
, —	Claim(s) <u>7-72</u> is/are anowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 14</u> is/are rejected.								
-									
Application Pape	rs								
• •	ification is objected to by the	Evaminer	•						
<i>,</i> — .	ring(s) filed on is/are:		∩ objected to by the	Examiner.					
	may not request that any object								
	nent drawing sheet(s) including t								
	or declaration is objected to								
Priority under 35		•							
12) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim fo o☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	ertified copies of the priority d	ocuments have be	en received.						
	ertified copies of the priority d			ion No					
	ppies of the certified copies o								
ар	plication from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).						
* See the at	tached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed. ·					
		. •	•						
				,					
Attachment(s)	noos Cited (PTO 802)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) X Information Disc	losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mai	Date			*					

Application/Control Number: 10/782,840

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Figure 1, objected to, "22" on door is incorrect.

1. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Abstract is objected to, line 2, after "sink" -- with fins, certain ones of which form electrodes "should be added, "in" and "set" should be -- into -- and -- inserted --, line 4, after "of a" -- static discharge --, line 6, after "sink" -- electrodes -- should be added, line 10, after "circuit" (ground) should be added, and "insertion" should be deleted. At end -- A pivot door (14) may be used and includes pins(24) for blocking door closure if the lamp unit is not properly inserted and also includes a switch interlock (20) for effecting power to the lamp unit. Rotational inserting/ejecting levers may also be used -- should be added.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Douglas.
- Douglas includes housing 1, lamp 11 and power contacts 7, 18, the ones at 18 being braised and mounted for sliding motion. Reference to use with endoscopes does

Application/Control Number: 10/782,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

not provide structural distinction over Douglas. Should issues arise, recited features deemed obvious variations.

- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasawa in view of Klostermann, and Cullen and Wawro
- 5. Karasawa, figure 49 includes light source housing 157 to receive light source 22, the light source power contacts 152 engaging housing power contacts 160. Also see figure 41 with light source contacts engaging housing sockets 119. Karasawa lacks biased sliding contacts on the housing. Klostermann at 25, 13 and Cullen, figure 3 use mating contact with one of the pair being sliding and spring biased. Obvious to use such type in Karasawa, figure 29 to enable low friction contact engagement.
- 6. Claim 2 also met by the figure 49 assembly. Claim 3 met by figure 41 holder

 154. The exact material used would be a matter of obvious choice. The mount is only

 25 Shown by Wawro at 36 (lamp mount)

 for holding the heat sink. Obvious to use such a member in figure 49 to keep heat sinks

 in view of Wawro

 aligned such feature producing no unexpected result. For claims 4, 5, outer cases and

 doors are used in figure 49 at 157, 155 and doors 30, 81, 172, 227 in figures 3, 14, 52,

 61. These doors cannot be closed if the light source is only part way inserted. Since the

 reference doors inherently serve the disclosed purpose as indicators, the recitation of

 added safety means or pin only define obvious changes producing no new result over

 the patent doors.
- 7. For claim 6, Karasawa, figure 61 includes interlock at 231, 236, and 232.

 Obvious to use same in figure 49 device to increase safety.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,840 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasawa.

- 9. The patent is applied as above. See figures 49, 3, 80, doors 30, etc. Obvious that these doors cannot close unless the lamp is substantially properly positioned. Use of added means (pins) seen to be obvious variation producing no new result over use of patent doors.
- 10. Claims 7-12 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Neil Abrams at telephone number 571-272-2089

WEIL ABRAMS
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322